

INTERACTION OF HIGHER SPIN MASSIVE FIELDS WITH GRAVITY IN STRING THEORY

V.D.PERSHIN

Department of Theoretical Physics, Tomsk State University, Tomsk 634050, Russia

Derivations of consistent equations of motion for the massive spin two field interacting with gravity is reviewed. From the field theoretical point of view the most general classical action describing consistent causal propagation in vacuum Einstein spacetime is given. It is also shown that the massive spin two field can be consistently described in arbitrary background by means of lagrangian equations representing an infinite series in curvature. Within string theory equations of motion for the massive spin two field coupled to gravity is derived from the requirement of quantum Weyl invariance of the corresponding two dimensional sigma-model. In the lowest order in string length the effective equations of motion are demonstrated to coincide with the general form of consistent equations derived in field theory.

In this contribution I review the recent progress [1] achieved in description of massive higher spin fields interacting with external gravity from both pure field theoretical and string theory points of view.

In general problems of introducing interaction for higher spin fields is referred to the presence of auxiliary fields in such theories. For example, free field realizing irreducible representation of the $D = 4$ Poincare group with spin s and mass m is described by a rank s symmetric traceless transverse tensor $\phi_{(\mu_1 \dots \mu_s)}$ satisfying the mass shell condition:

$$(\partial^2 - m^2)\phi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_s} = 0, \quad \partial^\mu \phi_{\mu \mu_1 \dots \mu_{s-1}} = 0, \quad \phi^\mu_{\mu \mu_1 \dots \mu_{s-2}} = 0. \quad (1)$$

To reproduce all these equations from a single lagrangian one needs to introduce auxiliary fields $\chi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{s-2}}, \chi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{s-3}}, \dots, \chi$ [2, 3]. These symmetric traceless fields vanish on shell but their presence in the theory provides lagrangian description of the conditions (1). In higher dimensional spacetimes there appear fields of more complex tensor structure but general situation remains the same, i.e. lagrangian description always requires presence of unphysical auxiliary degrees of freedom.

Arbitrary interaction may create problems of two different kinds. First of all, in interacting theory auxiliary fields may acquire dynamics thus violating the correct number of degrees of freedom. Usually these extra degrees of freedom have kinetic terms with the wrong sign thus leading to negative energies.

In any case absence of a consistent free limit makes the theory pathological. Requirement of conservation of the correct number of degrees of freedom gives severe restrictions on possible types of interaction.

Another problem that may arise in higher spin fields theories is connected with possible violation of causal properties. This problem was first noted in the theory of spin $3/2$ field in external fields [4] (see also the review [5] and a recent discussion in [6]). Turning on interaction in theories of higher spin fields in general changes the characteristic matrix of equation of motion and there appears possibility of superluminal propagation. Such a situation also should be considered as pathological. The two problems are believed to be connected with each other in a subtle way, namely, causality is referred to *local* violations of number of degrees of freedom [6].

Due to these problems no consistent lagrangian describing a higher spin massive field interacting with itself or with other dynamical fields is known at the moment. A possible consistent description of higher spin fields interaction may be given by string theory which in addition to a finite number of massless excitations contains an infinite tower of massive higher spins modes with masses proportional to the inverse fundamental string length squared. Namely presence of this infinite tower provides ultraviolet finiteness of all known perturbatively consistent string models. In the low energy limit only the lightest string modes are excited and physics is to be described by some effective theory of a finite number of fields.

The most convenient covariant method of deriving the corresponding effective field theory is based on non-linear sigma models which describe propagation of a string in external background fields. The requirement of quantum conformal invariance in such models is known to correctly reproduce the equations of motion for massless background fields [7]. The inclusion of massive string modes into this scheme is more difficult because the corresponding two-dimensional action is non-renormalizable and should include an infinite number of terms. Until recently, only linear effective equations of motion for the massive string modes were calculated [8].

In the papers [9] it was shown that it is possible to derive massive fields equations of motion in string theory using ordinary perturbative quantum calculations. If one is considering the whole infinite set of massive fields it makes no difference whether the theory is renormalizable or not. Infinite number of counterterms needed for cancellation of divergences generating by a specific massive field in classical action leads to renormalization of an infinite number of massive fields. The only property of the theory crucial for possibility of

derivation of perturbative information is that number of massive fields giving contributions to renormalization of the given field should be finite. To calculate β -function for any massive field it is sufficient to find divergences coming only from a finite number of other massive fields and so it is possible to derive effective equations of motion for any background fields in any order.

An apparent disadvantage of such perturbative σ -model calculations is that only linear in massive fields equations of motion can be derived and so one cannot derive cubic interaction for massive fields. This fact agrees with the old observation [10] that general covariant string field action describing self-interaction of massive fields cannot exist.

Nevertheless, one can derive quadratic part of effective action for a single massive field interacting with a collection of massless fields. Let us now describe how this possibility is explicitly realized on the example of massive spin 2 field interacting with external gravity [1].

In the flat spacetime the massive spin 2 field is described by symmetric transversal and traceless tensor of the second rank $H_{\mu\nu}$ satisfying mass-shell condition:

$$(\partial^2 - m^2)H_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \partial^\mu H_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad H^\mu{}_\mu = 0. \quad (2)$$

All these conditions can be derived from the single lagrangian [2]:

$$S = \int d^D x \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \partial_\mu H \partial^\mu H - \frac{1}{4} \partial_\mu H_{\nu\rho} \partial^\mu H^{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu H_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu H + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu H_{\nu\rho} \partial^\rho H^{\nu\mu} - \frac{m^2}{4} H_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m^2}{4} H^2 \right\} \quad (3)$$

and $H = \eta^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu}$ plays the role of auxiliary field.

Among the equations of motion $E_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\delta S}{\delta H^{\mu\nu}}$ there are D primary constraints $\varphi_\nu^{(1)} = E_{0\nu}$ which do not contain second time derivatives of the field $H_{\mu\nu}$. Their conservation in time leads to D secondary constraints

$$\varphi_\nu^{(2)} = \partial^\mu E_{\mu\nu} = m^2 \partial_\nu H - m^2 \partial^\mu H_{\mu\nu} \approx 0 \quad (4)$$

Conservation of $\varphi_\mu^{(2)}$ defines accelerations $\ddot{H}_{0i}$ and leads to one more constraint

$$\varphi^{(3)} = \partial^\mu \partial^\nu E_{\mu\nu} + \frac{m^2}{D-2} \eta^{\mu\nu} E_{\mu\nu} = H m^4 \frac{D-1}{D-2} \approx 0 \quad (5)$$

Conservation of $\varphi^{(3)}$ gives one more constraint on initial values and from the conservation of this last constraint the acceleration $\ddot{H}_{00}$ is defined. Altogether

there are $2D+2$ constraints on the initial values of $\dot{H}_{\mu\nu}$ and $H_{\mu\nu}$ and equations of motion are hyperbolic and causal.

Now if we want to construct a theory of massive spin 2 field on a curved manifold first of all we should provide the same number of propagating degrees of freedom as in the flat case. It means that new equations of motion $E_{\mu\nu}$ should lead to exactly $2D+2$ constraints and in the flat spacetime limit these constraints should reduce to their flat counterparts.

One possible way to do it is to consider theory in a vacuum Einstein space-time:

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{D} G_{\mu\nu} R \quad (6)$$

In this case there exists one-parameter family of consistent theories with the same number of constraints as in the flat space:

$$S = \int d^D x \sqrt{-G} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \nabla_\mu H \nabla^\mu H - \frac{1}{4} \nabla_\mu H_{\nu\rho} \nabla^\mu H^{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^\mu H_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\nu H \right. \quad (7) \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu H_{\nu\rho} \nabla^\rho H^{\nu\mu} + \frac{\xi}{2D} R H_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1-2\xi}{4D} R H^2 - \frac{m^2}{4} H_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m^2}{4} H^2 \right\}.$$

Corresponding equations of motion are hyperbolic and causal:

$$\nabla^2 H_{\mu\nu} + 2R^\alpha{}_\mu{}^\beta{}_\nu H_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{2(\xi-1)}{D} R H_{\mu\nu} - m^2 H_{\mu\nu} = 0, \\ H^\mu{}_\mu = 0, \quad \dot{H}^\mu{}_\mu = 0, \quad \nabla^\mu H_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (8)$$

provided that parameters of the theory fulfill the conditions:

$$\frac{2(1-\xi)}{D} R + m^2 \neq 0, \quad \frac{D+2\xi(1-D)}{D} R + m^2(D-1) \neq 0 \quad (9)$$

But can one remove the vacuum Einstein condition and construct consistent theory for both massive spin 2 field and dynamical gravity? There are arguments [11] against this possibility based on Kaluza-Klein reduction of $(D+1)$ -dimensional gravity. In string theory there arises another way out of this problem. Namely, consistent action may be built in a form of infinite series in curvature or, equivalently, in inverse string length.

From the field theoretical point of view consistent action in the lowest order in curvature depends on three parameters of non-minimal coupling:

$$S_H = \int d^D x \sqrt{-G} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \nabla_\mu H \nabla^\mu H - \frac{1}{4} \nabla_\mu H_{\nu\rho} \nabla^\mu H^{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^\mu H_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\nu H \right. \\ + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu H_{\nu\rho} \nabla^\rho H^{\nu\mu} + \frac{\xi_1}{4} R H_{\alpha\beta} H^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\xi_1}{4} R H^2 + \frac{1-2\xi_2}{4} R^{\alpha\beta} H_{\alpha\sigma} H_\beta{}^\sigma \quad (10) \\ \left. + \frac{\xi_2}{2} R^{\alpha\beta} H_{\alpha\beta} H + \frac{\xi_3}{2} R^{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} H_{\mu\nu} H_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{m^2}{4} H_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m^2}{4} H^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right) \right\}$$

If gravitational field is also subject to some dynamical equations of the form $R_{\mu\nu} = O(1/m^2)$ then the system in the lowest order equations of motion look like

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 H_{\mu\nu} - m^2 H_{\mu\nu} + (\xi_3 + 2) R_{\mu}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\nu}{}^{\beta} H_{\alpha\beta} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right) &= 0, \\ H + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right) &= 0, \quad \nabla^{\mu} H_{\mu\nu} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right) = 0, \\ R_{\mu\nu} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right) &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

In string theory equations of motion for such a system can be derived from the condition of quantum conformal invariance imposed on the two-dimensional σ -model with the classical action

$$S = S_0 + S_I = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int_M d^2z \sqrt{g} g^{ab} \partial_a x^{\mu} \partial_b x^{\nu} G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'\mu} \int_{\partial M} e dt H_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu} \tag{12}$$

Here $\mu, \nu = 0, \dots, D-1$; $a, b = 0, 1$, $\dot{x}^{\mu} = \frac{dx^{\mu}}{edt}$. The first term S_0 is an integral over two-dimensional string world sheet M with metric g_{ab} and the second S_I represents a one-dimensional integral over its boundary with einbein e .

Quantum conformal invariance in the lowest order in α' gives the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 H_{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\nu}{}^{\beta} H_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{\alpha'} H_{\mu\nu} + O(\alpha') &= 0, \\ \nabla^{\alpha} H_{\alpha\nu} + O(\alpha') &= 0, \quad H^{\mu}{}_{\mu} + O(\alpha') = 0, \\ R_{\mu\nu} + O(\alpha') &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

which are a particular case of the most general consistent equations of motion derived from the field theory point of view (11).

The main implication of this result is that string theory overcomes the consistency problems of higher spin massive fields interaction by means of infinite series in α' . Correct number of degrees of freedom can be restored by imposing conditions on the couplings of the theory in each order. The next interesting step in this direction would consist in calculation of string loop corrected β -functions which due to Fischler-Susskind [12] mechanism should provide full Einstein equations of motion with stress tensor depending on massive spin 2 field.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to I.L. Buchbinder, D.M. Gitman and V.A. Krykhtin for collaboration in the work reviewed here and to A. Sagnotti, A.A. Tseytlin and M.A. Vasiliev for stimulating discussions. The work was supported by grants GRACENAS 97-6.2-34, RFBR 99-02-16617, RFBR-DFG 99-02-04022 and INTAS N 991-590.

- [1] I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, V.D. Pershin, *Phys. Lett.* **466B** (1999) 216; I.L. Buchbinder, D.M. Gitman, V.A. Krykhtin, V.D. Pershin, *Nucl. Phys.* **B584** (2000) 615; I.L. Buchbinder, D.M. Gitman, V.D. Pershin, “Causality of Massive Spin 2 Field in External Gravity”, *Phys. Lett.* (2000) to appear; [hep-th/0006144](#).
- [2] M. Fierz, W. Pauli, *Proc. Royal Soc.* **A173** (1939) 211.
- [3] S.J. Chang, *Phys.Rev.* **161** (1967) 1308; L.P.S. Singh, C.R. Hagen, *Phys. Rev.* **D9** (1974) 898.
- [4] G. Velo, D. Zwanziger, *Phys. Rev.* **188** (1969) 2218; G. Velo, *Nucl. Phys.* **B43** (1972) 389.
- [5] D. Zwanziger, *Lecture Notes in Physics*, **73** (1978) 143.
- [6] S. Deser, V. Pascalutsa, A. Waldron, “Massive Spin 3/2 Electrodynamics”, [hep-th/0003011](#).
- [7] C. Callan, D. Friedan, E. Martinec, M. Perry, *Nucl. Phys.* **B262** (1985) 593; E.S. Fradkin, A.A. Tseytlin, *Phys. Lett.* **158B** (1985) 316; *Nucl. Phys.* **B261** (1985) 1.
- [8] J.M.F. Labastida, M.A.H. Vozmediano, *Nucl.Phys.* **B312** (1989) 308; I.L. Buchbinder, V.D. Pershin, G.B. Toder, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **14** (1997) 589; A. Wilkins, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A13** (1998) 1289.
- [9] I.L. Buchbinder, E.S. Fradkin, S.L. Lyakhovich, V.D. Pershin, *Phys.Lett.* **B304** (1993) 239; I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, V.D. Pershin, *Phys. Lett.* **B348** (1995) 63.
- [10] A.A. Tseytlin, *Phys. Lett.* **B185** (1987) 59.
- [11] M.J. Duff, C.N. Pope, K.S. Stelle, *Phys. Lett.* **B223** (1989) 386.
- [12] W. Fischler, L. Susskind, *Phys. Lett.* **B171** (1986) 383; *ibid.* **B173** (1986) 262.